

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,696	09/21/2001	F. Van Baltz	12870US03	4274	
7.	590 09/24/2003				
•	Held & Malloy, Ltd.	EXAMINER			
34th Floor 500 West Madison Street			CAPRON, AARON J		
Chicago, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3714	. 73.	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Applicatio	n No	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary						
		09/960,69	ô 	BALTZ ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Aaron J. C.	·	3714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u>	September 2	<u>2003</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-17</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
· · ·	•	r				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
.0,	<del></del>					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<del></del> -		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/960,696

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is a response to the Amendment received on August 8, 2003, in which claims 1 and 10 were amended. Claims 1-4 and 6-17 are pending.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 8, 2003 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Rowe (U.S. Patent No. 6,394,907).

Rowe discloses a gaming system comprising a game machine including a display arranged to display a credit amount (7:31-36); a medium generator arranged to generate a medium comprising a machine-readable validation code the exclusion of a machine-readable

Application/Control Number: 09/960,696

Art Unit: 3714

credit amount (6:33-37; 6:53-66; 13:44-51, esp 13:49-51); a reader unit arranged to read the validation code from the medium (6:13-18); and a network interface; a network (Figure 3); and a central authority arranged to store the validation code and a credit amount received from the network in response to generating the medium, arranged to validate the validation code and arranged to transmit the stored credit amount through the network to the interface in response to validation of the validation code, the credit amount being displayed on the display (12:32-14:48).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rowe in view of Stockdale et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,251,014; hereafter "Stockdale").

Referring to claims 7 and 15, Rowe discloses the a central authority transmits to the interface through the network a validation code, but does not disclose that the central authority sends a validation code before a cashout signal is generated. However, Stockdale discloses a gaming system, with a card reader/printer, which transfers pertinent information from a master device to a peripheral device in order to preserve the functionality of the peripheral devices in case of a power failure (17:58-18:5). One would be motivated to combine the references in order to protect the pertinent information that preserves the functionality of the peripheral device in case of a power failure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 09/960,696 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the security features of Stockdale into the gaming system of Rowe in order to protect the pertinent information that preserves the functionality of the peripheral device in case of a power failure.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Regional SmarTrip System and the Regional Customer Service Center discloses a smartcard having a validation code to the exclusion of a machine-readable credit amount.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron J. Capron whose telephone number is (703) 305-3520. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on (703) 308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

ajc

S. THOMAS HUGHES
SUPERVISORY PATENT (EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700